





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/787,110	03/14/2001	Konstantinos Poulakis	41395	1483
75	590 01/22/2003			
Mark S Bicks			EXAMINER	
Roylance Abrams Berdo & Goodman Suite 600			KUHNS, A	LLAN R
1300 19th Street NW Washington, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	V
			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

サンプ

Office	Action	Summary
011100	, 101,011	Julinia. y.

Application No. 09/787, 110

Applicant(s)

POWLAKIS Group Art Unit

Examiner

KUHNS

1732

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address-

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\frac{THREE(3)}{}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

term adjustment. See S7 OFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal n accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 49 	natters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
☐ Claim(s) / - /	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	e Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
\Box Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in $\dot{\mathbf{A}}$	pplication No
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been	received
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a))
*Certified copies not received:	
Atta hment(s)	
✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	□ Int rvi w Summary, PTO-413
> Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO–892	☐ Notice f Informal Pat nt Application, PTO-15
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth r
Office Action Summ	nany
	THE T

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ______

Serial Number: 09/787,110 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 is indefinite due to the use of "in particular" in line since it is unclear whether the subsequent phrase serves to further limit the claims. Also, there is no antecedent basis for "the relevant layer 9" in claim 4. Claim 5 is indefinite because it is unclear as to what permanent magnets, other than those recited as examples, are within the scope of this claim. Claim 7 is confusing because the wording appears to state that the adhesive component is injectable into a foam component. Clarification is required.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wigner et al.

Wigner et al. discloses or suggests the basic claimed process for producing a foam component, the component being provided with an adhesive sealing component with adhesive elements covered by a foam retaining cover with ferromagnetic properties, are received in a foam mold producing the foam component, with the cover being in the form of the adhesive sealing component which is mounted with a variable width edge cover projecting over the area having the adhesive elements and with a retaining mechanism brought in separable contact with the mold, wherein the adhesive elements are mounted in one plane with the edge cover of the

Serial Number: 09/787,110 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

adhesive sealing element and the ferromagnetic components are an integral part of the adhesive sealing element. Wigner et al. appear not to teach that the foam is injected into the mold, but such is well known and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to expediently deliver the foam material to the mold cavity.

Wigner et al. teach or suggest using magnetic retaining elements to hold the cover during foam introduction, as in claim 2, and a surface area having adhesive elements, as in claim 3. Wigner et al. also teach or suggest the use of an adhesive base layer, as in claim 4, permanent magnets as in claim 5, and the adhesive sealing component structure of claim 7. Wigner et al. also teach the use of textile or cloth material 33, as in claim 6, at column 3, line 14.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino, can be reached on (703) 308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

1-16-03

allen R. Kihns